READING 1—Genesis 22:1-19 Abraham is Tested

Lesson 15—Gaining an overview of the discourse

Marking the Boundaries of a Discourse

We will now proceed to do our first full-blown discourse analysis. As a reminder, a discourse is a group of expressions linked together from a beginning to an ending so that they develop an idea in some orderly fashion.

The first step in analyzing a discourse is to determine the outer limits of the discourse you wish to analyze. Discourses are often arranged in a hierarchy in which one large discourse is made of several smaller, embedded discourses. For our first discourse analysis, we need to deal with something small, and relatively self-contained. We can use an English Bible to help us at this point because we can process more text so much more efficiently in English. Genesis 22:1 begins, in the English Standard Version of the Bible, "After these things God tested Abraham..." We may use the phrase "after these things" as a marker or signal of the beginning of a small, distinct discourse in the larger narrative discourse of Abraham's life.

Verse 22:1 also suggests to us that we may limit ourselves at the other end of our discourse by the end of God's testing of Abraham. Scanning ahead in English, when does it seem that the testing story is fully resolved? Is it in verse 12 when the angel of YHWH stops Abraham and proclaims, "Do not lay your hand on the boy or do anything to him, for now I know that you fear God, seeing you have not withheld your son, your only son, from me"? It is true that the test itself is finished at this point of the account. The suspense is largely over.

However, an important part of many stories takes place immediately after the story's climax, after the tension within a story is released, in the section of the story we refer to as the *resolution*. In verses 16–18, the angel of YHWH speaks to Abraham, informing Abraham of the promises that belong to him as a result of passing the test. In verse 19, Abraham returns to his servants and his home. Verse 19 brings the resolution and hence, the story, to a close.

Tracing the foreground

In narrative, the *wayyiqtol* verb is the *chief* verbal form marking the foreground—but not the only one. Other verb forms and verbal sequences can provide part of the foreground. On the other hand, *wayyiqtols* can serve other functions, even providing *background* to the narrative. Nevertheless, noticing the *wayyiqtols* in a particular section is a good way to trace the course of the action. Find and translate the forty-six *wayyiqtol* verb forms that make up the foreground of the discourse we are analyzing. By isolating the *wayyiqtols* in this manner we are sketching the "skeleton" of the story from which all of the details will hang. Notice how many of the *wayyiqtol* forms are

already in the lessons, that much of the detail in biblical Hebrew narrative is carried by dialogue. Of course, you only need to translate identical forms once, but you may want to include them in your work so you can better get an idea of how the "skeleton" of a biblical Hebrew narrative looks. The meaning of roots or stems that you have not learned yet are given to you.

- Vs. 3 בְּשְׁבֵּם (מֹסׁׁׁׁׁ in the Hiphil stem = rise early)

 שׁבּם (מֹסׁׁח in the Qal stem = gird, bind) _____

 ערַ בְּעָלְ וֹיִבְּקְעׁ in the Piel stem = break, cleave) _____

 Vs. 9 בְּיִבְּיִ (חֹבׁי in the Qal stem = build) _____

 דִּיבְּיִ (חֹבִי in the Qal stem = arrange) _____

 דִיבְּיִ (חֹבִי in the Qal stem = bind) _____
- Vs. 13 אול בילים ((This is the familiar root אול יים in the so-far unfamiliar Hiphil stem in which the root means offer up. This is a 3rd m. singular wayyiqtol form. The heh dropped from the root as usual, but then a 3 m. s. pronominal suffix אול היים, which in this case means it. was added. The addition of this suffix is why the word appears like a 3rd m. plural wayyiqtol that for some reason did not lose its heh. Translate the word And he offered it up.)

Lesson 16—The narrative begins and an embedded hortatory discourse

Verse 1

In our verse-by-verse analysis, we will proceed, with a few exceptions, in a clause-by-clause manner. We will consider the clause as the basic building block of the discourse.

For each clause, you should answer the basic question for discourse analysis: "How does this clause relate to the previous ones?" Since we are working with narrative and a series of wayyiqtol verb sequences, the answer will usually (but not always!) be "next event (and then)." Since this is a very common discourse function, we will not generally pay any notice to it in these notes. That doesn't mean you should not be aware of the steady sequence of events, and be prepared to consider other possible functions if "next event" doesn't seem correct. For most other non-wayyiqtol clauses, we will explicitly define the discourse function.

בּוְבִּים הְאֵּכֶּה Many narratives begin with the expression בּוְהֵי אַחֵר הַּוְבִּים הְאֵּכֶּה Many narratives begin with the expression of time. What is the "time word" used in this narrative?

We have seen the common (both masculine and plural gender), plural demonstrative pronoun المراجية, meaning these, in Lesson 13.5. The word here also means these, but it is a demonstrative adjective that modifies المراجة المراجة على rather than a pronoun. In Hebrew, attributive adjectives follow the nouns they modify and must agree with them in gender, number, and definiteness. To agree with

have the definite article attached to it.

Since the subject precedes the verb, we have a preposed construction. One of the functions of preposed clauses is to designate a "new topic or episode," continuing the current foreground mode from the previous chapter. The introductory words of the verse indicate that we are entering a new episode in Abraham's life. The preposed clause summarizes, like a headline, what is to follow. One expects the phrase to be followed by the first *wayyiqtol* of the discourse.

בּרְיִי אַבְיי אַבְּיִי אַבְייִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּיִי אַבְּייִי אַבְּייִי אַבְּיי אָּבְייי אָּבְייי אָּבְייי אָבְייי אָבְּיי אָבְּיי אָבְיי אַבְּיי אָבְּיי אָבְייי אָּבְייי אָּבְייי אָבְייי אָבְייי אָבְּייי אָבְייי אָבְּייי אָבְּיי אָבְייי אָבְייי אָבְּיי אָבְּיי אָבְייי אָּבְייי אָבְייי אָבְייי אַבְּייי אָבְייי אָבְּיי אַבְּייי אָבְיי אַבְּייי אָבְייי אַבְּייי אַבְּיי אַבְּייי אָבְייי אַבְּייי אָבְייי אָבְּייי אָבְייי אַבְּייי אַבְיי

וֹיְלְּבֶּיִר בּוֹנְיִי: Here is Abraham's response, one word made of two parts: בַּוֹּלְיִבּיוֹ (shortened from בּוֹיִן) + 'בְּרַ , a suffixed pronoun meaning me.

The colon-like mark at the end of the verse is called a **sof passuq**. This mark was added by the Masoretes who also added the *nikkud* to the previously unvocalized text long after the original text was written. Every Hebrew Bible verse ends with a *sof passuq*, which means, appropriately enough, *end of verse*. Printed Hebrew Bibles include the *sof passuq*, but temple scrolls do not. The final word preceding the *sof passuq* will always be marked with a *silluq ta'am*.

Verse 2 ກາວເຂົ້າ. The next ກາວເຂົ້າ indicates another switch in speakers, back to *Elohim*.

is a *particle of entreaty* or exhortation meaning I *pray*, or in this case, *now*. We call a command form an *imperative*, and the imperative is one of the chief forms for the foreground of another of the direct speech genres called hortatory discourse. In **hortatory discourse**, the speaker commands or exhorts his audience (see 19.2c).

is a noun plus possessive suffix (13.4). When figuring out the noun, do not forget that the vowels are shortened to their construct form before the suffix is added (also 13.4).

יוֹרְיִי, uses the adjective יוֹרְיִ, meaning *only*, as a noun, as in *only one*. An adjective used as a noun is called a *substantive*. Like יוֹרָ, a vowel in the word has shortened due to the addition of the suffix. In יוֹרָ, it is the *patakh* that has shortened to a *shewa*. Notice that *your only one* does not name a second direct object but renames it. The lack of a *waw* helps us make this distinction.

The root $\Box \sqcap \aleph$, which means *love*, does not take the usual translation recommended in 4.3h using the English helping verb had. It would give the sense that Abraham was no longer loving his son. Roots like $\Box \sqcap \aleph$ that refer to emotional and mental activity usually require present-tense translations. Remember, the meanings of the biblical Hebrew verb forms are not locked-on for time the way our English verb forms are.

- This phrase should technically have been above as a third DDO following the command אַרְּהָבְּיּהְ. But it is here because of the conspicuous interruption that אָרְהַבְּיִּאְ makes in the series of DDO's. Rhythmically, אָרִיבְּיִהְאָּ seems to come as a surprise unveiling of the third key participant in the account because it follows the dependent clause which would typically have ended the thought.

וְהַעְּלֵהוּ שָׁם לְעֹלֶה עָל אַחַר הָהָוֹים. This is the third clause in the string of com-

mands that make up this hortatory discourse. The imperative form וְּהַעְּלֵהוֹ is made of three parts:

The Hiphil stem gives a causative sense to a root, so when לכל in Qal means go up, in Hiphil, it means cause to go up (in smoke) or tp sacrifice. With its suffixed pronoun and prefixed waw our word means And sacrifice him.

saw earlier in this verse, what would be the vowel under the aleph?

(4.3g) Rather it is a dependent clause with a yiqtol verb form which is used (in connection with the אָלֶהְיֹבּי to expand on one of the mountains in reference to present or future time; it can be either that I will show or that I show.

The *yiqtol* is made with prefixed subject pronouns (some of which also need complements suffixed to the root). In \(\text{TDN}\) the root is \(\text{TDN}\) and the prefixed pronoun is \(\text{N}\) so one of the *alephs* had to go, and without a trace left behind. \(\text{TDN}\) is one of the most common roots in the Hebrew Bible, and it has a few tricky forms. The only difference in the following are the vowels:

אָמַר	Qal qatal 3rd m. s.
אֹמֵר	${\it Qal}$ participle m. s.
אֱמֹר	Qal imperative m. s.
אמר	Qal vigtol 1st c. s.

It is worth memorizing these four forms.

Lesson 17—The foreground of the narrative resumes

Verse 3

בּבְּקְבֶּם בַּבּקֶּב. After the embedded direct speech, a wayyiqtol verb marks the return to the main narrative. The root שב is used only in the Hiphil stem as it is here, and it means rise early.

means morning. The bet prefixed to the word has the nikkud of the definite article, a patakh and a dagesh forte in the following letter $[\cdot \supseteq]$, so it is translated in the

יְחֵבֹשׁ אֵת־חֲמֹרֹן. The root שֹבְּח here shown in the Qal stem means gird, bind.

A $\neg i \supset \square$ is a *donkey*. You should know what the *holem* on the end means (2.4c).

יַצְּחֶלְ לְּגָּרִיוֹ אָּהֹוֹ וְאֵחְ לְּגָּרִיוֹ אָהֹוֹ וְאֵחְ לְּגָּרִיוֹ אָהֹוֹ וְאֵחְ לְּגָּרִי means two of. Notice the m. p. construct ending on it. The ending shows the word's connection to יְצְרָיוֹ אָהֹוֹ וְאֵחְ means boy, servant, and you should know, as in the clause above, what the waw means (2.4c). The question is whether you can discern the plural form of בְּעָרָיוֹ אָהֹוֹ וִצְּרָיוֹ אַהֹוֹ because there are two specified, but in other contexts, you will need to recognize the yod as making the noun plural. Here are his servant and his servants contrasted:

his servants עריו

his servant יערוֹ

in \dot{n} should not be confused with $\dot{n}\dot{n}$. The *dagesh* in the former indicates a preposition as opposed to the latter in which $\dot{n}\dot{n}$ is a DDO. The former means *with him*, and the latter means simply *him*.

וֹיֶבְקְעׁ עֲצֵי עֹיְיֵה. Fill out the verb analysis chart for the verb form, paying attention to the nikkud (2.2e):

root	stem	form	person, gender, number	function	basic root meaning
					break, cleave

עיץ means wood. which is in construct with אוֹלְלָּד. which we learned in Genesis 22:2.

means place. וַיֵּבֶּלְדְ אֵל־הַמָּקוֹם means place.

בּבּר בּלְוֹ הְאֵלְהִים. An בּאָבּיר בּים clause, functioning as an expansion on place. Remember that this construction refers (usually) to the relative past (4.3b). In other words, when we use the mainline as a reference point in time—here, it is Abraham's getting up and going—we know that Elohim had spoken to him at an earlier point in time.

Verse 4

Remember from above the significance of the *nikkud* with the preposition בְּוֹם דֵשְׁלִישִׁ. Remember from above the significance of the *nikkud* with the preposition בְּוֹם דִּשְׁלִישִׁ. This significance is confirmed by the definite article on the attributive adjective בְּיִשְׁלִישִׁ, which means *third*.

This phrase is not a clause. It does not have a verb, nor is it an equating of a predicate and a subject as we see in the Hebrew verbless clause. In this respect, it is somewhat of a "hanging fragment." We might expect a "preceding it, to mark a change in time. Nevertheless, it acts as an adverb. We might translate it *On the third day*., followed by the translation of the following *wayyiqtol* clause.

אָת־עֵיבֶין. What do the hireq under the prefix pronoun and the dagesh forte in the shin indicate is the missing root letter? ______ (9.2b). You should know the root.

You should know the root of עֵינְיוּ; the meaning of the suffix is covered above in the discussion of בְּעָרָיוּ

:בְּרֶחְק: מֵרְחְקֹם מֵרְחִק: The word מֵּרְחִקׁ is made of two parts, the preposition and the adjective בְּרֶחְלִק, meaning afar.

Lesson 18—Another embedded hortatory discourse and predictive narrative

Vorce 5

וֹלְּאֶׁבֶּוֹרְ אֵבְּרְדְׁם אֶּלְּ־וְּעְּרְיוֹ. Here comes another direct speech discourse. What will it be—predictive narrative, instructional discourse, or perhaps more hortatory discourse?

... This has another disjunctive ta'am (revi'a), which is a higher level disjunctive than geresh. That means that the break that follows "to the servants" is a stronger break than the break immediately after "Abraham." So the phrase "to the servants" should

be read *with* the first two words, and what Abraham said must follow "to the servants" instead of directly after "Abraham." This analysis agrees with the semantics of the phrase.

אור בור בור בור שלבור בור אור שלבור בור אור שלבור בור אור שלבור בור שלבור בור שלבור שלבור

הלכה ער כל היא should look to you very much like the 1 c. p. yiqtol of הלל, only with an additional heh on the end—because this is exactly what it is. This form of the yiqtol is partners with the imperative and one other verb form as the chief verbs form in the foreground of hortatory discourse. It is called the cohortative, and it is translated Let us .. in the plural and Let me ...in the singular (see 24.2c).

With the fronting of the words וְבְּבִּי וְרַבְּבִּע in the clause, used by Abraham to shift the focus to himself and his son from the two servants, we can translate As for me and the boy, let us go....

....bit wait a minute! Does it make sense for Abraham in one breath to give a command to his servants to "stay here," and in the next breath to ask for their permission to "let me and the boy go over there"? Or might he be giving encouragement to himself and his son by saying, "Let us go over there"? Neither possibility makes any sense. The word is properly seen as being cohortative in form, but not in meaning. Abraham has moved from hortatory discourse to predictive narrative. In this case, the verb itself does not give us the final answer; an understanding of the semantics of the statement is absolutely necessary. Translate the cohortative form as a regular yiqtol referring to the future.

means literally until there (imagine Abraham pointing) or yonder.

Notice, after removing the waw, the nun at the beginning of the word and the heh at the end look like the cohortative we just saw. This word is indeed another cohortative—grammatically. It is again a word that is cohortative in form, but not in meaning. Translate as a regular yiqtol referring to the future.

This is a disputed root in a disputed stem which we will discuss further later in the course. It is the only word in the Hebrew Bible which exhibits the four letters $\Pi\Pi\Pi$ in sequence, and it is best to be able to identify the sequence on sight. The verb means

prostrate oneself, worship.

בּוֹנְשֶׁרֹכְה אָבֵּׁיכֶם: The third in the series of cohortatives—in form, but not in meaning. Abraham intends that both he *and his son* will return. In other words, he believes in the power of *Elohim* to raise his son from the dead!

Verse 6

דִילֶּה אָּרְדְהָם אֶּת־עֲצֵי הְעֹלֶּה. The wayyiqtol resumes the foreground of the narrative.

Notice now that לְצֵבֵּי הְעֹבְיִ has become a "prop" in the account; it has become definite (6.3) as opposed to verse 3's indefinite version.

- What does the vowel under the prefixed subject pronoun indicate here? ______ (10.3a). There has been a lot of debate over the years about how old Isaac was. How young could Isaac have been and still be able to carry the wood from one mountain top to another?
 - means fire, and מַאָבֶּׁכֶּלְת הַבְּיִדְׁוֹ אֶת־דְהָאֵשׁ וְאֶת־דְהַאַשׁ means fire, and מַאָבֶּׁכֶּלְת means knife. We are aided in identifying מֹאַבֶּׁלֶת as a feminine noun because most nouns ending in tav are feminine. It is constructed, as are many nouns, by the addition of a mem before a root, in this case, the root אבל which means eat.
- יוֹרְלָּוֹ שְׁבֵּיהֶם יַחְדְּרֵ. We saw יְבְּרִ in verse 3. The suffix בּחְבִּרוּ שְׁבֵּיהֶם יַחְדְּרִוּ is an adverb meaning together. There is a conspicuous similarity between this word and the דְּוֹיִרְיִ in verse two.

Lesson 19—A stretch of dialogue

Verse 7

- בּיוֹלְאֶבֶּרְ אֶבְּרְהָם אָבִּיוֹ. Since there was no dialogue in verse six, we need to mention the speaker explicitly as we begin this verse.
- יב"א אָבּר אָבּי. This is clearly not the "next step" in the narrative. It is, instead, an expansion of the last clause, adding to what was already stated (that Isaac was speaking to Abraham) exactly what Isaac said.
- We see a bit of custom here. Abraham responds to his son's inquiry the same way he had earlier responded to God's. The use of my with father by Isaac and with son by Abraham may sound a bit formal to us, but it probably did not sound so to them. Even in today's Israel, Hebrews use the pronominal suffix with family-relationship words very affectionately.

- Here Isaac demonstrates to us that he had participated in this type of worship before. He knew the props and probably the protocol. With the word אווי Isaac asks his father to look.
- בּוֹלֵילֵה. The first word means And where ... We have here a verbless clause that needs an is. A אוֹלָי is a lamb. There is a constant tension in this account between what can be seen and what cannot be seen. For instance, they see the fire and the wood but they do not see the lamb for the offering. We readers see that God is testing Abraham, but Abraham can only lift up his eyes and see the dreaded mountaintop.

Verse 8

ַניּאמֶר אַבְרַהַם.

Here we have our first X-yiqtol construction of the reading. Its obvious function is to answer Isaac's question, although in an oblique way. In this case, the preposed clause does bring a focus on the first word of the clause: Elohim.

Translation of הַּלְּכִי, might be either English present or future. In answer to Isaac's question Abraham uses the grammatical construction which puts the focus on *Elohim*. Abraham here assures his son that God does see even though they cannot. (You might want to consult a lexicon for האר); if see doesn't quite seem to fit the context, perhaps a different translation of the verb will fit better.)

is an ambiguous reference. Does it mean it as a reference to the acquisition of a lamb or does it mean for Him(self) as a reference to Elohim?

The word \Box is a vocative (19.3), a word in direct speech that names the addressee.

Thus ends the somewhat lengthy (by Hebrew Bible standards) dialogue. Aside from the string of אָלָאָלָּאָלָּיִןs, there is a sequence of direct speeches, alternating between speakers. A narrative will usually shift from its foreground to an embedded speech by one of the speech formulae (for example, אַלְאָלָּיִלְּיִ or אַלְּאָלָּיִן). The direct speech uses verb forms other than the wayyiqtol of the narrative. When the speech ends, the narrative resumes with a wayyiqtol (in our case, delineating each change in speakers). The embedded speech is treated as its own discrete, self-contained discourse (for example, hortatory discourse or predictive narrative).

בוֹרְלְכוּ שְׁבֵּיהֶם יַחְדְּרוֹ. The narrative resumes with a wayyiqtol, the "next step" after Abraham's last speech. Here, our doubts about the successful continuation of the expedi-

tion are erased by the conspicuous repetition of the clause from verse 6. In biblical Hebrew, direct speeches are often rather short (as these were), but they can be longer, spanning multiple verses before a quotation comes to an end.

Lesson 20—Uninterrupted Narrative Foreground

Verse 9

בּיבֹאוּ אֱל־הַמְּקוֹם. Continuation of the foreground.

- בּוֹרֶבְיּבְּׁלְּהִים A new אֲשֶׁבְּר בְּוֹ הָאֱלֹהִים clause expands on "the place" of the preceding clause.

- ינער את־הָעַצִים. The root יוֹיער in the Qal stem means arrange.
- ינְצְּחֶלְ בְּנֹוֹ The root יוֹנְיצִקֹר אָת־יִצְּחֶלְ בְּנֹוֹ The root in the Qal stem means bind. Note how the nikkud is exactly the same as the 1st guttural verb that precedes it.
- :בְּשֶׁם אֹתוֹ עַל־הַמְּוְבֶּׁחַ מְמַעֵל לְעֵצִים:. Is it ironic that first Abraham placed the wood on Isaac, and then placed Isaac on the wood?

Remember from verse 3 to contrast אָתוֹ and אָתוֹ אָנִל לְעֵצִים means above the wood.

Verse 10

- יִרְהְבֹּ אֵּרְרְהְבֹּ אֵּרְרְּרְבּׁ אֵּרְרְּרְבּׁ אֵרְרְרְּבּׁ אֵרְרְרְּבּׁ אֵרְרְרְבּׁ אֵרְרְרְבּׁ אַרְרְרְבּ approach the climax.
- : אַרְּבְּיִלְּיִתְ מְּעְׁבֶּרֶתְ לְּעְּׁדְּבְּיִּרְ. What verb form is בּיִבְּיִרְ לִּעְּרָתְ מְּבְּרָּוֹיִי אָרִרְּבְּיִר.

 The root שווי means kill, but the root is worth a closer look. Check it in your Hebrew-English dictionary. There are several, more general words for kill than this one. Notice how an infinitive can have its own DDO.

Verse 11

שׁבִּיוֹ מִרְאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵ בְּרָאָרֵי בְּרָבְּיִר בְּרָאָרָ בִּרְיִּעְבִין. What is the function of this wayyiqtol? Is this simply the "next step," and then? Or do we need something other than the general "and then" to reflect the connection between this verb and the preceding

verbs? Perhaps you remember אָלְאַלְּאַ from Lesson 7.

- בּרֶרְהָם וֹאַבְּרְהָם . As is often the case in biblical Hebrew, אָבֶּרְהָם וֹ works in conjunction with another verb of speech. In this case, אֹבְירָהַם notes only how the speech was said. Then בּיֹּאֹבֶּיׁ expands on the first verb, providing the quotation. We might reflect the connection in English by translating $He\ called\ \ldots\ saying$.
- יוֹבְנֵיי . This is the many-times repeated response of Abraham in this account. His own ability to see may be limited all through the account, but he is willing, himself, to be seen.

Lesson 21—The third embedded hortatory discourse

Verse 12 יְלְּאָמֶוּר.

אַל־תַּשָׁלַח זָרָךְ אֵל־הַנְּעַר. We just learned the use of אוֹ in Lesson 21.

אָרְעָשׁ לֵּוֹ נְאָרְכְּּוֹת. Notice how the root אוֹנְאַלּ לְּיִעְשׁ לְּוֹ נְאָרְכְּּוֹת. Notice how the root אוֹני has lost its final heh. This is typical of the yiqtol form of 3rd heh verbs in hortatory discourse.

means anything, something.

- The בושב (for or because) here gives the cause of the previous command. Do not confuse אַרָּט with אַרָּט אָל. As for the time of the English verb you use in translation, do you remember from verse 2 what to do with qatals of roots that pertain to mental and emotional activity?
- בּיבֵיבֵא אֶלְהִים. A different use of בּיבֵּיבָא אָלְהִים here functions as an expansion (that), used as a complement to a verb of seeing or knowing. The word בּיבִיבָּא אָלְהִים is an adjective from the root אֹדְי meaning fear. It is used in construct here with בּיבִּיבָּא, a sure giveaway that it is being used substantively (as a noun). Does the clause have S-P or P-S word order? (2.7c). It is the word order we would expect for the angel's description of Abraham. It is like saying, One who fears God (and not something else) are you.

Notice in this clause the third use of a TT -word, made all the more conspicuous because it is not a particularly common word in the Hebrew Bible.

Verse 13

אַברֶּהְם אֵת־עֵינִי Notice the parallelism with verse 4.

בירא. The parallelism continues.

רָנְעָ בַּּסְבֶּדְ בְּקְרְנְיִ . This is an X-qatal construction.

The X: אַר אָל means a ram behind. Behind what? Probably Abraham, but we do not know.

The qatal: The nun on the beginning of the root could be a prefixed subject pronoun of a yiqtol form, but that does not make sense in the context and the nikkud is incorrect. It is the preformative that identifies the Niphal stem. The in the Niphal stem means be seized. Translate It was a ram behind (him) that was seized.

קבָּסָבַ: קבַסְ means thicket.

ווֹקְבֶּׁרְ means horn. The preposition בְּ this time indicates, as it often does in Hebrew, the means, and we translate it by. For an explanation of the suffix, see verse two's בְּעַרְיוֹ

Of great interest here is the use of the word \(\frac{1}{2}\). Although the word has been used many times in this account, this is the first time in the account that the word is used in the regular narration, that is, not within direct speech. In such a case, a biblical narrator signals the switch within the narrative from the detached perspective of a third-person narrator to the perspective of one of the participants within the narrative. With \(\frac{1}{2}\), the narrator signals us to see as Abraham saw. The most effective translation of this clause is therefore English present tense. Thematically, we can say that when he sees the ram, Abraham's eyes have finally opened to what we knew all along, that God was testing him.

These observations give us a way to indicate the function of this clause in the narrative: to say what Abraham saw. (Notice that this is not in our list in the summary chart. The list there is not exhaustive; sometimes one must create a new function. "Addition" might work here, but it doesn't seem quite fitting.)

וֹיֵלֶךְ אַבְרָהָם

נִיִּקָּח אֶת־הָאַׁיִל.

בּיבֶּלְהוֹ לְעֹלֶה תַּחַת בְּּנְוֹי. The first word is clearly a wayyiqtol. It is constructed exactly like the imperative of the same root in verse 2, including the pronominal suffix הוא ביניים ביניים ביניים ווא ביניים ביניי

mative *heh*. Like the imperative in verse 2, this *wayyiqtol* form is the Hiphil stem.

 $\Pi\Pi\Pi$ means in place of.

Verse 14

אוֹרָתְּלְּחָלֵּהְ בּּקְּלְּהָ אַבְּרְהָהְ שִּׁ בּּקְרָהְ בּּהְלְּלִּחְ בּּקְלְּחָבּ בּּאָרָהְ, אוֹרָה, אוֹרָה, בווּ הוֹיּג בווּ בּאָר, אוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרְה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרְה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרְה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרָה, מוֹרְה, מוֹרְ

The Demonstratives:

English Gender	this	these	that	those
masculine	וֶה	אַּלֶּה	הוא	קם
feminine	זאת	אַֿכֶּה	הָיא	הַן

- וֹתְּלֵּהְוֹ '. Most Hebrew names are sentences. This is a particularly good example. Notice, throughout the episode, how the letter sequence אין appears in different contexts, drawing together the meanings see and fear. This kind of root-play is common in biblical Hebrew and is called paronomasia. You may want to look ahead now to the explanation of Leitwort in Lesson 33.3.
- בּוֹיִי בְּאָבֵי בְּיִאָּבְיּ. This בּיִבְּיּלְבּיּ clause functions as a consequence ("therefore") of the preceding clause. The verb is a yiqtol form in the Niphal stem. Translate which is said today.
- יה בות היה ... We treat this as a mini direct speech discourse. The clause is a good example of how the Hebrew Bible can still be a mystery. There are several possible meanings.
 - 1. The verb with a *sere* under the *yod* is the *Niphal* stem and means *It* (or *he*) *is* (or *shall be*) *seen*.
 - a. In a mountain, it is YHWH who is (or shall be) seen.
 - b. with the mountain and *YHWH* as a construct chain: *It is in the mountain of YHWH that it shall be seen*.
 - 2. The *nikkud* with verb may be a misinterpretation by the Masoretes who inserted

the *nikkud* into the consonantal text around 1000 CE. Remove one dot from beneath the *yod*, and we would have *In a mountain*, *it is YHWH who sees* (or *will see*).

Which explanation do you find most likely? In any case, this chapter is a good example of how the Biblical Hebrew writer will explore the range of meanings for one root in one account. (And this does not take into account the other possible translations of $\exists \aleph \exists$).

Verse 15

:וַיִּקְרָא מַלְאַד יְהוָה אֶּל־אַבְרָהָם שֵׁנִית מִן־הַשְּׁמְיִם. וַיִּקְרָא מַלְאַד יְהוָה אָל־אַבְרָהָם שׁנִית מוֹ means a second time, again.

Lesson 22—An embedded predictive narrative

Verse 16

רוֹאָלוֹן. See the explanation in v. 11.

הַרְהַלְּתִי נְאָם־יְהְוּהְ. The preposition בי הוא once again indicates means, so it can be translated by, with its suffix, in this case, by Myself.

אבּילִיתְּבֹי is a qatal form. The preformative identifies the stem as Niphal, and you should know what the suffixed subject pronoun means. The root שבּשׁ in the Niphal stem means swear an oath. The qatal form by default shows perfective aspect and past tense. Therefore, translate the verb as I have sworn. (We will learn how to translate verbs in the Niphal stem later in the course, and find out that this is not a typical translation for the Niphal stem.)

marks an utterance of YHWH. We can translate it *says* YHWH. This word is often a signal that a +projection discourse is to follow, very often predictive narrative. Since YHWH Himself is swearing in this clause, the likelihood of a predictive narrative discourse is even greater.

בּי בְּעֵן אֲשֶׁר עְשִׂיתְ אֶת־הַדְּבֶּר הַהָּי. The introductory בו can mean for or because. The combination בו means because. Together we can simply translate all three as because. The three words introduce a dependent clause. The function is clearly to state the cause or reason for something. Ordinarily, we expect that "something" to be from the previous clause. But does that make sense for this clause? It could perhaps be preparing for the first clause or clauses in the next verse. Does that connection seem more reasonable, giving the causes for the actions in verse 17?

בּשׁׂיִר. In translating this word the usual past time translation does not work. Here, instead of the helping word had, which is usually recommended and would give us a past perfect translation, the helping word have would be more appropriate, giving us the English present perfect construction. The English present perfect refers to something which was done in the past and still affects the present. That certainly describes the situation for Abraham.

Verse 17

אַבֶּרֶי בְּיִרָּבְּיִר. Notice the same root תווי meaning in the *Piel bless* is used twice here. The first time it is in a form we have not learned yet called the **infinitive absolute** and the second time as a *Piel* 1st c. s. *yiqtol*. The double use of the root using the infinitive absolute and a regularly conjugated form is a way of emphasizing the verity of the statement. We often translate with a *surely, truly* or *indeed*.

וְהַרְּבֶּה אֵּת־וֹרְעֵּךְ ׁכְּכוֹכְבֵי הַשְּׁלֵּיִם וְכַחְּוֹל Again, a root, this time בוֹה אַת־וֹרְעַךְ בְּה אַרְבָּר אַרְבָּה אַרְבָּר בּוֹרְעַךְ בְּּה וֹל Again, a root, this time בוֹח in the Hiphil stem meaning multiply, is used twice, first in the infinitive absolute form and then in the regularly conjugated yiqtol form. Be careful not to over-generalize and think that every occurrence of a repeated root is another example of this construction. We will learn to identify infinitive absolutes in Lesson 34.2.

This is now the second in a series of yiqtol forms. Ordinarily, it is the weqatal form that takes the foreground of a predictive narrative like this one. However, the writer here is using the infinitive absolute + yiqtol instead. He cannot use the infinitive absolutes with the weqatal verb form because the infinitive absolute bumps the conjugated verb out of the first position in the clause, the only place a weqatal can be found. Even the emphasizing power of the infinitive absolutes is therefore increased because they are a break from the ordinary conventions of predictive narrative. Breaks with convention are themselves part of a larger convention that says that such breaks create emphasis.